arXiv:1406.7805v1 [math.GN] 30 Jun 2014

BETWEEN COUNTABLY COMPACT AND ω-BOUNDED

ISTVÁN JUHÁSZ, LAJOS SOUKUP, AND ZOLTÁN SZENTMIKLÓSSY

Dedicated to the memory of Mary Ellen Rudin

ABSTRACT. Given a property P of subspaces of a T_1 space X, we say that X is *P-bounded* iff every subspace of X with property P has compact closure in X. Here we study P-bounded spaces for the properties $P \in \{\omega D, \omega N, C_2\}$ where $\omega D \equiv$ "countable discrete", $\omega N \equiv$ "countable nowhere dense", and $C_2 \equiv$ "second countable". Clearly, for each of these P-bounded is between countably compact and ω-bounded.

We give examples in ZFC that separate all these boundedness properties and their appropriate combinations. Consistent separating examples with better properties (such as: smaller cardinality or weight, local compactness, first countability) are also produced.

We have interesting results concerning ωD-bounded spaces which show that ωD-boundedness is much stronger than countable compactness:

- Regular ωD-bounded spaces of Lindelöf degree $< \mathrm{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ are ω-bounded.
- Regular ωD-bounded spaces of countable tightness are ωN-bounded, and if $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$ then even ω-bounded.
- If a product of Hausdorff space is ωD-bounded then all but one of its factors must be ω-bounded.
- Any product of at most $\mathfrak{t}$ many Hausdorff ωD-bounded spaces is countably compact.

As a byproduct we obtain that regular, countably tight, and countably compact spaces are discretely generated.

1. Introduction

The work we report on in this paper can be considered as complementary to that in [12] where certain natural boundedness properties that are strengthenings of the ω-bounded property had been investigated. Here we go in the opposite direction in the sense that we study boundedness properties that are weaker than ω-bounded but still stronger than countably compact.

Definition 1.1. Given a property P of subspaces of a space X, we say that X is *P-bounded* iff every subspace of X with property P has compact closure in X.

All spaces considered in this paper are assumed to be T_1. We intend to study P-bounded spaces for the following choices of a property P of subspaces:

- $P \equiv$ "countable discrete" (in short: ωD)
- $P \equiv$ "countable nowhere dense" (in short: ωN)

Date: July 1, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A25, 54A35, 54D30, 54D65.

Key words and phrases. compact, countably compact, P-bounded, ω-bounded.

The research on and preparation of this paper was supported by OTKA grant no. K 83726.

- $P \equiv$ "second countable" (in short: C_2)

Let us note that, as second countable spaces are separable, we clearly have

$$C_2\text{-bounded} \equiv \omega C_2\text{-bounded} \equiv \omega C_1\text{-bounded},$$

where, of course, C_1 abbreviates "first countable".

It is obvious that ωD-bounded spaces are countably compact. Moreover, as discrete subspaces of a crowded space are nowhere dense, it follows that both ωN-bounded and C_2-bounded crowded spaces are ωD-bounded. The following diagram gives a visual summary of these easy implications between our boundedness properties and their natural combinations.



FIGURE 1. ZFC implications

2. Separation in ZFC

The aim of this section is to show that all the seven classes of crowded spaces appearing in this diagram are distinct. In fact, we are going to produce, in ZFC, zero-dimensional crowded spaces that separate these classes. Before we turn to constructing these examples, however, we need to do some preliminary work.

Definition 2.1. Given a property P of subspaces of a space X and a fixed subset $Y \subset X$, we write

$$[Y]^P = \{A \subset Y : A \text{ has property } P\},$$

and

$$\mathrm{cl}_X^P(Y) = \bigcup\{\overline{A} : A \in [Y]^P\}.$$

Also, we say that Y is *P-closed* in X if $Y = \mathrm{cl}_X^P(Y)$. (As usual, we shall omit the subscript X when it is clear from the context.)

Obviously, if X is compact then every P-closed subspace of X is P-bounded, and if X is also Hausdorff then the converse is also true.

Note that, in general, the subspace $\mathrm{cl}_X^P(Y)$ will not be P-closed in X. But, at least for regular spaces, this will happen if $P = \omega D$ or $P = \omega N$. In the first case this is easy and well-known: it follows from the fact that in a regular space the points of any countable discrete set can be separated by disjoint open sets. In the second case, when $P = \omega N$, we think that this observation is new.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that X is regular and $Y \subset X$. Then for any $H \in [X]^{\omega N}$ with $H \subset \mathrm{cl}^{\omega N}(Y)$ there is $K \in [Y]^{\omega N}$ such that $H \subset \overline{K}$. Consequently, $\mathrm{cl}^{\omega N}(Y)$ is ωN-closed in X.*

Proof. Let us fix an ω-type enumeration $H = \{h_n : n < \omega\}$ of H and for each $n < \omega$ pick $K_n \in [Y]^{\omega N}$ such that $h_n \in \overline{K_n}$. Then take a maximal disjoint collection $\mathcal{U} \subset \tau(X)$ such that $\overline{U} \cap H = \emptyset$ holds true for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Clearly, $\cup\mathcal{U}$ is dense open in X because H is nowhere dense.

Since $\bigcup\{K_n : n < \omega\}$ is countable, so is $\mathcal{V} = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap \bigcup\{K_n : n < \omega\} \neq \emptyset\}$, hence we may write $\mathcal{V} = \{U_m : m < \omega\}$. Let us now put

$$K = \bigcup_{n<\omega} \big(K_n \setminus \cup_{m<n} U_m\big).$$

Then for each $m < \omega$ we have $U_m \cap K \subset \cup_{i\leq m} K_i$, hence $U \cap K$ is nowhere dense for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. As $X \setminus \cup\mathcal{U}$ is nowhere dense, this implies that $K \in [Y]^{\omega N}$.

Finally, for every $n < \omega$ we have $h_n \notin \bigcup_{m<n} \overline{U_m}$, so $h_n \in \overline{(K_n \setminus \bigcup_{m\leq n} U_m)} \subset \overline{K}$, consequently $H \subset \overline{K}$, completing the proof. □

Our next preliminary step concerns a general construction that has as its input a Tychonov space X and a (Hausdorff) compactification cX of X. Its output is a Tychonov space $M(X, cX)$ that is locally compact if so is X and zero-dimensional if so is cX.

Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the Cantor set and let Y be the one-point compactification of the locally compact and ω-bounded product space $\omega_1 \times \mathbb{C}$. So Y is what we may call the "long Cantor set". The compactifying point at infinity in Y will be denoted by y.

Definition 2.3. Let X, cX, Y and $y \in Y$ be as above. Then we put

$$M(X, cX) = \big[cX \times (Y \setminus \{y\})\big] \cup (X \times \{y\}),$$

considered as a subspace of $cX \times Y$.

Note that $M(X, cX)$ is crowded, irrespective of whether X is or not. Note also that $X \times \{y\}$ is a closed and nowhere dense homeomorphic copy of X in $M(X, cX)$, moreover, $cX \times (Y \setminus \{y\})$ is clearly ω-bounded. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of these two facts.

Proposition 2.4. *Let P be any of the properties appearing in the diagram of Figure 1.*

(1) If X is P-bounded then so is $M(X, cX)$.

(2) If X is not P-bounded then $M(X, cX)$ is not $(P \wedge \omega N)$-bounded. In particular, if X is not ω-bounded then $M(X, cX)$ is not ωN-bounded.

We shall also make use of the following simple preparatory lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a regular space all whose points have uncountable character. Then every first countable subspace Y of X is nowhere dense in X.*

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, that $U \subset X$ is non-empty open and $Y \cap U$ is dense in U. But then, see e.g. 2.7 of [8], for each point $y \in Y \cap U$ we have $\chi(y, Y) = \chi(y, U) = \chi(y, X) > \omega$, a contradiction. □

We are now ready to produce (in ZFC) the examples that separate the classes from our diagram.

Example 2.6. *Let K be a zero-dimensional, crowded compactum in which all infinite closed sets have size $> \mathfrak{c}$. (The Čech-Stone remainder ω^* is such.) Then K has a countable crowded subset S and there is a countably compact subspace X such that $S \subset X \subset \overline{S}$ and $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$. Thus, X is a countably compact, zero-dimensional, crowded space in which all compact subsets are finite, hence it is clearly not ωD-bounded.*

Our next example will be ωN-bounded but not C_2-bounded. It will be a subspace of $\beta\mathbb{Q}$, the Čech-Stone compactification of the rationals.

Example 2.7. *Let us put $X = cl^{\omega N}_{\beta\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})$, the ωN-closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\beta\mathbb{Q}$. Then, by lemma 2.2, X is ωN-closed in $\beta\mathbb{Q}$, hence X is ωN-bounded.*

On the other hand, by theorem 1.5 of [15] *$\mathbb{Q}$ has a remote point p because it is not pseudocompact and has countable (π-)weight. But then $p \notin X$, hence X is not compact while the second countable $\mathbb{Q}$ is dense in X. So, X is not C_2-bounded.*

We can now apply our "M-machine" from 2.3 to the space $X = cl^{\omega N}_{\beta\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and its compactification $\beta\mathbb{Q}$.

Example 2.8. *The space $M(cl^{\omega N}_{\beta\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}), \beta\mathbb{Q})$ is ωD-bounded by part (1) of 2.4 and it is not $(\omega N \wedge C_2)$-bounded by part (2) of 2.4.*

Our aim next is to produce a space that is both ωN-bounded and C_2-bounded but not ω-bounded. To do that, we first refer to [11] where it was shown that there is a countable dense subspace S of the Cantor cube $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ which is *nodec*, that is every nowhere dense subset of S is closed in S. (In fact, the space S constructed there has a stronger property: it is submaximal.) Let us pick a member $a \in S$ and consider $X = \mathrm{cl}^{\omega N}_{2^{\mathfrak{c}}}(S \setminus \{a\})$, the ωN-closure of $S \setminus \{a\}$ in $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Applying lemma 2.2, we can see that X is ωN-closed in $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, and hence it is ωN-bounded. Since S is nodec, it follows that $a \notin \overline{T}$ for every (countable) nowhere dense subset of $S \setminus \{a\}$, hence $a \notin X$. But $S \setminus \{a\}$ is still dense in $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ as well as in X, consequently X is not ω-bounded because it is not compact.

Note that, as X is dense in $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, for all points $x \in X$ we have $\chi(x, X) = \mathfrak{c}$. Consequently, every (countable and) second countable subspace T of X is nowhere dense by lemma 2.5. But this implies that $\overline{T}$ is compact because X is already known to be ωN-bounded, and thus we see that X is also C_2-bounded.

Putting all this together we get what we wanted.

Example 2.9. *The zero-dimensional space $X = \mathrm{cl}^{\omega N}_{2^{\mathfrak{c}}}(S \setminus \{a\})$ is both ωN-bounded and C_2-bounded but not ω-bounded.*

Since $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ is a compactification of the space X from our last example 2.9, we may apply our "M-machine" to X and $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ similarly as we did in example 2.8. This, in view of lemma 2.4, will preserve the C_2-bounded property of X and will turn its lack of ω-boundedness into the lack of ωN-boundedness.

Example 2.10. *The zero-dimensional space $M(X, 2^{\mathfrak{c}})$ is C_2-bounded but not ωN-bounded.*

Finally, we note that if H is any space that is ωN-bounded but not C_2-bounded and, conversely, K is C_2-bounded but not ω-bounded, then their topological sum $H \oplus K$ is clearly $(\omega N \wedge C_2)$-bounded but neither ωN-bounded nor C_2-bounded. Thus we have our last separating example.

Example 2.11. *The topological sum of the spaces from example 2.7 and from example 2.10 is a zero-dimensional crowded space that is $(\omega N \wedge C_2)$-bounded but neither ωN-bounded nor C_2-bounded.*

It is well-known that each crowded, countably compact, regular space has cardinality at least $\mathfrak{c}$. However, all our above examples with the exception of 2.6 are of cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. We do not know if this is really necessary, hence we formulate the following problem.

Problem 1. *Are there, in ZFC, separating examples like 2.7 – 2.11 of cardinality less than $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$?*

Consistently, at least in some cases, we can produce such separating examples of size less than $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. This is the topic of the next section.

3. Small and/or locally compact separating examples

Let us note, first of all, that the well-known Franklin-Rajagopalan space (usually denoted by $\gamma\mathbb{N}$, see e.g. [5]) that is obtained from a tower $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{t}\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is locally compact, sequentially compact, hence countably compact, but not ωD-compact. Of course, this space is not crowded but by taking its product with, say, the Cantor set we get a similar crowded and zero-dimensional example. Moreover, as $|\mathbb{C} \times \gamma\mathbb{N}| = \mathfrak{c}$, this example is also small, just like the similar, but not locally compact, example in 2.6. It is worth mentioning that if $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$ then this example is also first countable.

A somewhat similar construction has recently been carried out in [7], however not in ZFC but using the extra assumption $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M})$. This yields a locally compact Hausdorff space X on the underlying set $(\omega \times \mathbb{C}) \cup \mathfrak{p}$ such that $(\omega \times \mathbb{C})$ in its natural topology is dense open in X, the closed nowhere dense subspace $\mathfrak{p}$ carries its ordinal topology, hence X is not compact, moreover X is ωN-bounded. Since $(\omega \times \mathbb{C})$ is a dense C_2 subspace of X this yields us the following.

Example 3.1. *If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M})$ then X from [7] above is a zero-dimensional, crowded, locally compact space of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$ that is ωN-bounded but not C_2-bounded. If, in addition, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cof}(M) = \omega_1$ then X is also first countable.*

Now, we may again apply our M-machine to X and its one-point compactification αX to obtain the following:

Example 3.2. *If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M})$ then $M(X, \alpha X)$ is a crowded, zero-dimensional, locally compact space of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$ that is ωD-bounded but not $(C_2 \wedge \omega N)$-bounded.*

Definition 3.3. Let X be a compactum and $x \in X$ a non-isolated point. For P, a property of subspaces, we say that x is P-touchable iff there is $Y \in [X \setminus \{x\}]^P$ such that $x \in \overline{Y}$.

Consequently, the task to find a locally compact Hausdorff space that is P-bounded but not Q-bounded is equivalent to the task of finding a Q-touchable but not P-touchable point in a compactum. Luckily for us, the latter task has already been dealt with, mainly by J. van Mill.

In particular, he proved in [17] that in the Čech-Stone remainder ω^* there is a point that is ωD-untouchable but ω-touchable ($\equiv$ not a weak P) point. Since ω^* has no non-trivial convergent sequences, it is easy to see that every C_2 subspace of ω^* is ωD, hence we have the following.

Example 3.4. *If $p \in \omega^*$ is as above then $\omega^* \setminus \{p\}$ is a locally compact space that is C_2-bounded but not ω-bounded, in fact not even ωN-bounded.*

We do not know if there is a ZFC example of a locally compact space that is both ωN-bounded and C_2-bounded but not ω-bounded. However, such an example exists if we assume $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$. Indeed, by theorem 9.1 of [16], $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$ implies that if X is any non-pseudocompact Tychonov space then there is a point in $x \in X^* = \beta X \setminus X$ that is ωN-untouchable in βX. Thus, if X is also separable, then $\beta X \setminus \{x\}$ is ωN-bounded and not ω-bounded.

Example 3.5. *Assume $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$ and apply the above argument to the separable, non-pseudocompact space $X = \omega \times 2^{\omega_1}$ to obtain $x \in X^*$ such that $\beta X \setminus \{x\}$ is ωN-bounded and not ω-bounded. But now all points of βX have uncountable character, hence, by lemma 2.5, every C_2 subspace of it is nowhere dense. Consequently, $\beta X \setminus \{x\}$ is also C_2-bounded.*

Similarly as we obtained example 2.10 from example 2.9 by running the latter through our "M-machine", we get from example 3.5 the following.

Example 3.6. *Assume $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$. Then for $X = \omega \times 2^{\omega_1}$ and $x \in X^*$ as in example 3.5, the locally compact space $M(\beta X \setminus \{x\}, \beta X)$ is C_2-bounded but not ωN bounded.*

Now, if the continuum hypothesis holds, then both $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$ are valid, hence we get the following.

Example 3.7. *If the continuum hypothesis holds then the topological sum of the spaces in 3.6 and 3.1 is a locally compact, crowded, zero-dimensional space that is $(\omega N \wedge C_2)$-bounded, but it is neither ωN-bounded nor C_2-bounded.*

We end this section with a result that yields consistent small examples similar to examples 2.9 and 2.10. The simple idea is to find $\lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ such that the Cantor cube $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ of weight λ has a countable, dense, nodec subspace. Then these examples can be produced in exactly the same way. (We shall use the more general notation $\mathbb{C}_I$ instead of 2^I.) So, for instance, 2^{ω_1} will be used to denote the cardinality of $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$.

Let us note, to begin with, that if $\lambda < \mathfrak{p}$ then every countable, dense subspace of $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is Frèchet, hence cannot be nodec. Consequently, the above plan can not be carried out in ZFC, so we only may hope for a consistency result.

In what follows, we shall denote by $\mathcal{N}(X)$ the ideal of all nowhere dense subsets of the space X. Moreover, the cofinality of the ideal $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ will be denoted by ν_λ.

Theorem 3.8. *If $\lambda = \nu_\lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ then the Cantor cube $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ has a countable dense subspace that is* nodec.

Proof. Let us start with what we know: a countable, dense, nodec subspace S of $\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{c}$. We shall prove that there is a set $I \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$ such that the projection $\pi_I[S]$ of S to this set of co-ordinates is nodec. Since $\pi_I[S]$ is clearly countable and dense in $\mathbb{C}_I$, this will complete our proof.

As each $T \in \mathcal{N}(S)$ is discrete, we may fix for T a countable set of co-ordinates $E(T) \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\omega$ such that the projection $\pi_{E(T)}$ is one-to-one on T and the image $\pi_{E(T)}[T]$ is discrete in $\mathbb{C}_{E(T)}$. Note that then $\pi_J[T]$ is also discrete in $\mathbb{C}_J$ whenever $E(T) \subset J \subset \mathfrak{c}$.

Also, it follows from $\nu_\lambda = \lambda$ that for every set of co-ordinates $J \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$ of size λ we can fix a collection $\mathcal{H}_J \in [\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}_J)]^\lambda$ that is cofinal in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}_J)$.

For any set $J \subset \mathfrak{c}$ and partial function $y \in \mathbb{C}_J$ we write $[y] = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{c} : y \subset x\}$. Moreover, for any subset $H \subset \mathbb{C}_J$ we put $[H] = \cup\{[y] : y \in H\}$. Clearly, if $H \subset \mathbb{C}_J$ is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{C}_J$ then $[H]$ is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{c}$. This implies that the following operation $J \mapsto J^+$ on $[\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$ is well-defined.

For any $J \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$ we set

$$J^+ = J \cup \bigcup \{E(S \cap [H]) : H \in \mathcal{H}_J\}.$$

We now define sets $I_\xi \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$ by transfinite recursion for $\xi < \omega_1$ as follows. I_0 is an arbitrary member of $[\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$. If $I_\xi \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$ has been defined then $I_{\xi+1} = (I_\xi)^+$. Finally, if $\xi < \omega_1$ is limit then we put $I_\xi = \cup_{\eta<\xi} I_\eta$.

We claim that $I = \cup_{\xi<\omega_1} I_\xi \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\lambda$ is as required, i.e. $\pi_I[S]$ is nodec. To see this, note first that those members of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}_I)$ that depend only on a countable number of co-ordinates are cofinal in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}_I)$. This is immediate from the fact any dense open subset of $\mathbb{C}_I$ includes a dense open set that is the countable union of elementary open sets, for $\mathbb{C}_I$ is CCC.

But now, if $Z \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}_I)$ depends only on the countable set of co-ordinates $A \subset I$ then there is some $\xi < \omega_1$ with $A \subset I_\xi$. Clearly, then the projection Y of Z to $\mathbb{C}_{I_\xi}$ is nowhere dense there. Consequently, there is $H \in \mathcal{H}_{I_\xi}$ with $Y \subset H$. By $A \subset I_\xi$ this implies $[Z] \subset [H]$. Now, by definition, we have $E(S \cap [H]) \subset I_{\xi+1} \subset I$ which implies that the projection $\pi_I\big[S \cap [H]\big]$ is discrete, hence so is its subset $Z \cap \pi_I[S]$.

This immediately implies that all members of $\mathcal{N}(\pi_I[S])$ are discrete, and hence also closed-and-discrete. Consequently, $\pi_I[S]$ is indeed nodec.

□

It is known that if one adds Sacks reals side-by-side to a model of GCH then in the resulting generic extension we have $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\omega_1}$. Moreover, in this model $\mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$ hols, see corollary 66 in [6]. But by 1.5 and 1.6 of [1] we have $\mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M}) = \nu_\omega$, consequently $\nu_\omega = \nu_{\omega_1} = \omega_1$ holds. This shows that the assumption of theorem 3.8 is meaningful.

The same arguments that we used to obtain examples 2.9 and 2.10 now yields the following results.

Corollary 3.9. *If $\lambda = \nu_\lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ then the Cantor cube $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ has a separable, dense subspace X that is both ωN-bounded and C_2-bounded but not compact, hence not ω-bounded. Moreover, then $M(X, \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ is C_2-bounded but not ωN-bounded. In particular, in the generic extension obtained by adding many Sacks reals side-by-side to a model of GCH, there are examples like these of size $\mathfrak{c}$.*

To conclude this section we recall from [9] that the existence of an HFD space of size $\mathfrak{c}$ implies the existence of a countably compact HFD, which is, on one hand

hereditarily separable, and on the other hand it has no infinite compact subspaces. (We know that there are many models of set theory in which HFD spaces of size $\mathfrak{c}$ exist.) Since a countably compact but not compact space cannot be Lindelöf, such a hereditarily separable regular example is necessarily an S-space, hence they cannot exist in ZFC.

4. On ωD-bounded spaces

In this section our aim is to show that, while the ωD-bounded property is quite low in the hierarchy of our boundedness properties, it is actually much stronger than countable compactness. The first evidence of this that we give is a strengthening of the well-known fact that regular and countably compact spaces of Lindelöf degree $< \mathfrak{p}$ are ω-bounded.

Theorem 4.1. *Regular and ωD-bounded spaces of Lindelöf degree $< \mathrm{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ are ω-bounded.*

Proof. Actually, we prove a slightly stronger result: If X is a separable, regular space which admits an open cover of size $< \mathrm{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ that has no finite subcover then X is not ωD-bounded.

To see this, fix a countable dense subset S of X and, using the regularity of X, an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ with $|\mathcal{U}| < \mathrm{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ such that for any finite $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ we have $\overline{\cup\mathcal{V}} \neq X$. We then pick for each $x \in S$ a member $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U_x$.

Now, consider the sub partial order $\mathbb{P} \subset S^{<\omega}$ consisting of those finite sequences $p \in S^{<\omega}$ that satisfy $p(i) \notin \cup_{j<i} U_{p(j)}$ for all $i \in \mathrm{dom}(p)$. (Note that $\mathrm{dom}(p) \in \omega$.)

For every finite $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ we define

$$E_{\mathcal{V}} = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \exists\, i \in \mathrm{dom}(p)\, (p(i) \notin \overline{\cup\mathcal{V}})\}.$$

Then $E_{\mathcal{V}}$ is dense in $\mathbb{P}$ because, by our assumption, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ the closure of $\bigcup\{U_{p(i)} : i \in \mathrm{dom}(p)\} \cup \mathcal{V}$ does not cover X.

Now, $|\{E_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\omega}\}| < \mathrm{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ implies that there is a $\mathbb{P}$-generic set G over the family $\{E_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\omega}\}$. Clearly, then $g = \cup G \in S^{\omega}$. We claim that $D = \mathrm{ran}(g) = \{g(i) : i \in \omega\}$ is discrete with non-compact closure.

That D is discrete follows because for every $i < \omega$ we have

$$\{g(i)\} = D \cap U_{g(i)} \setminus \{g(j) : j < i\}.$$

If $\overline{D}$ would be compact then we had a finite $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ with $\overline{D} \subset \cup\mathcal{V}$. This, however, would contradict $D \setminus \cup\mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$ that, in turn, follows from $G \cap E_{\mathcal{V}} \neq \emptyset$.

□

One of the most notorious open problems (worth 1000 US Dollars) concerning countably compact spaces asks if there is, in ZFC, a first countable, separable, regular, countably compact but non-compact space. Equivalently, is it consistent that all first countable, regular, countably compact spaces are ω-bounded? The answer to this question is unknown even if we replace in it first countable with countably tight. We refer the reader to [13] concerning the history and the sate of this problem.

In what follows, we shall show that the situation changes drastically if in this question countably compact is strengthened to ωD-bounded. We start by introducing some new notation. Let X be any space, $S \subset X$ be a dense subset and $\mathcal{U}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint non-empty open sets in X. We shall denote by

$\mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ the collection of all those countable sets $D \subset S$ that satisfy $|D \cap U| < \omega$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ is a subideal of $[S]^{\leq\omega}$ and all members of $\mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ are discrete subsets of X. We also recall that an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is a P-ideal iff for any $\{A_n : n < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{J}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $A_n \subset^* A$, i.e. $A_n \setminus A$ is finite, for all $n < \omega$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X, S, and $\mathcal{U}$ be as above. Then $\mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ is a P-ideal.*

Proof. Let us consider any family $\{D_n : n < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ and let $\mathcal{V}$ be, the obviously countable, collection of all those elements of $\mathcal{U}$ that intersect $\cup\{D_n : n < \omega\}$. We may then write $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i < \omega\}$. Then put

$$D = \bigcup_{n<\omega} \big(D_n \setminus \cup_{i<n} V_i\big).$$

We have $D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ because $D \cap V_i \subset \cup_{n\leq i}(D_n \cap V_i)$ is clearly finite for each $i < \omega$, moreover $D \cap U = \emptyset$ whenever $U \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}$. We also have that $D_n \setminus D \subset \cup_{i<n}(D_n \cap V_i)$ is finite, hence $D_n \subset^* D$ for all $n < \omega$.

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let X, S, and $\mathcal{U}$ be as above and assume, in addition, that X is regular and countably compact. Then the following are valid:*

(i) $\overline{\cup\mathcal{U}} \setminus \cup\{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \subset \overline{\cup\{D' : D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})\}}$;

(ii) if $x \in \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}} \setminus \cup\{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ *and we also have* $t(x,X) = \omega$ *then even* $x \in D'$ *for some* $D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}} \setminus \cup\{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and take any open set V containing x. Then V clearly intersects infinitely many members of $\mathcal{U}$, hence there are distinct $\{U_n : n < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$ for all $n < \omega$. Then we may choose $x_n \in S \cap V \cap U_n$ for each n, and clearly $D = \{x_n : n < \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$. The countable compactness of X then implies $\emptyset \neq D' \subset \overline{V}$ which shows that every closed neighbourhood of x intersects $\cup\{D' : D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})\}$. Thus by the regularity of X we have established (i).

(ii) Now if $x \in \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}} \setminus \cup\{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $t(x,X) = \omega$ then by part (i) there is a countable subset $\{x_n : n < \omega\} \subset \cup\{D' : D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})\}$ with $x \in \overline{\{x_n : n < \omega\}}$, hence $x \in \{x_n : n < \omega\}'$.

By definition, for each $n < \omega$ there is some $D_n \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ such that $x_n \in D_n'$ and by lemma 4.2 there is $D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ for which $D_n \subset^* D$ for all $n < \omega$. But, obviously, $D_n \subset^* D$ implies $D_n' \subset D'$, consequently we have $x \in D'$.

□

We are now prepared to formulate and prove the following, perhaps surprising, result.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that the space X is regular and countably compact and $S \subset X$ is dense in X. Then for every countable nowhere dense set $A \subset X$ such that $t(x,X) = \omega$ for all $x \in A$ there is a countable discrete subset D of S for which $A \subset \overline{D}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a maximal disjoint collection of non-empty open sets U in X such that $A \cap \overline{U} = \emptyset$. Then the regularity of X and the maximality of $\mathcal{U}$ imply that $\cup\mathcal{U}$ is dense in X, hence we have $A \subset \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}} \setminus \cup\{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. We may then apply part (ii)

of lemma 4.3 to conclude that for each $x \in A$ there is some $D_x \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ such that $x \in D'_x$. By lemma 4.2, however, there is a set $D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ for which $D_x \subset^* D$ whenever $x \in A$, and then we obviously have $A \subset D' \subset \overline{D}$.

□

The following ZFC "non-separation" result is then an immediate corollary of theorem 4.4, by simply putting $S = X$.

Corollary 4.5. *Any countably tight regular space that is ωD-bounded is also ωN-bounded.*

In [3] it was proved that countably tight compacta are discretely determined, that is if a point is in the closure of a set then it is in the closure of its discrete subset. Our next corollary of theorem 4.4 extends this result from compacta to regular and countably compact spaces.

Corollary 4.6. *All countably tight, regular, and countably compact spaces are discretely determined.*

Proof. Clearly, this follows if we can show that for any countably tight, regular, and countably compact space X, for any dense subset $S \subset X$, and for any non-isolated point $x \in X$ we have $x \in \mathrm{cl}^{\omega D}(S)$. But for a non-isolated point $x \in X$ the singleton set $\{x\}$ is nowhere dense, hence theorem 4.4 can be applied to it and the dense set S.

□

We can now move on to our promised result that for countably tight and regular spaces the ωD-bounded property implies ω-boundedness, provided that $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$ holds.

To this end, we recall the well-known fact (see e.g. [10], 1.7) that for the property "$F \equiv$ free sequence", being F-bounded is equivalent with compactness, that is if all free sequences in a space X have compact closures then X is compact. This fact will be used in the proof of our result.

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$ holds. Then every countably tight and regular space that is ωD-bounded is also ω-bounded.*

Proof. What we shall show is that every ωD-bounded, countably tight, and regular *separable* space X is compact. To do this, we first also assume that X is crowded. We now fix a countable dense set $S \subset X$.

Let us assume, arguing indirectly, that X is not compact. According to the remark we made above, then there is a free sequence in X that has non-compact closure. Since free sequences are discrete and X is ωD-bounded, this free sequence cannot be countable, hence there is a free sequence $F = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of type ω_1 in X. The countable tightness of X then implies that F cannot have a complete accumulation point in X, hence $\overline{F}$ is not compact.

Now let us fix a maximal disjoint collection $\mathcal{U}$ of non-empty open sets U in X such that $F \cap \overline{U} = \emptyset$. Then $\cup\mathcal{U}$ is dense in X because F, being discrete, is nowhere dense in our crowded and regular space X. Then $|\mathcal{U}| = \omega$, for X is separable, and it cannot be finite as $\cup\{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq X$. Also, $|U \cap S| = \omega$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ because X is crowded.

Thus we may write $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n < \omega\}$ and $S \cap U_n = \{s_{n,i} : i < \omega\}$ for each n. Note that for every set $D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ we can fix a function $f_D \in \omega^\omega$ such that $D \cap U_n \subset \{s_{n,i} : i < f_D(n)\})$ for every $n < \omega$.

It follows from part (ii) of lemma 4.3 that for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ there is some $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ with $x_\alpha \in D'_\alpha$. Now we apply $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$ by taking a function $f \in \omega^\omega$ such that $f_{D_\alpha} <^* f$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$. Let us then put

$$D = \bigcup_{n<\omega} \{s_{n,i} : i < f(n)\}.$$

Clearly, we have $D \in \mathcal{I}(S,\mathcal{U})$ and $D_\alpha \subset^* D$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. But this would imply $x_\alpha \in D'_\alpha \subset D' \subset \overline{D}$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, hence $F \subset \overline{D}$ and this is a contradiction because $\overline{D}$ is compact but $\overline{F}$ is not.

Now consider the general case in which X is not necessarily crowded, hence $I(X)$, the set of the isolated points of X, may be non-empty. Of course, $I(X)$ is countable as X is separable. Thus, if $I(X)$ is dense in X then $X = \overline{I(X)}$ is compact. Otherwise, $G = X \setminus \overline{I(X)}$ is an open, hence separable and crowded subspace, and so is its closure $\overline{G}$ which is ωD-bounded. Thus $\overline{G}$ is compact by the above and hence so is $X = \overline{I(X)} \cup \overline{G}$.

□

Example 3.1 form the previous section is, under $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$, in particular under CH, a first countable ωD-bounded (and hence by 4.5 necessarily ωN-bounded) regular – even locally compact – separable space that is not compact. This shows that the assumption $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$ cannot simply be omitted from theorem 4.7. However the following problem remains open.

Problem 2. *Does $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ imply the existence of a countably tight (or Frèchet, or first countable) regular space that is ωD-bounded but not ω-bounded?*

5. On products

It is an immediate consequence of Tychonov's theorem that ω-boundedness is a fully productive property, while it is also well-known that countable compactness is not productive at all. So, it is natural to raise the question: how productive are the properties in between these two that we have considered in this paper. As we shall see, the ωD-bounded property yields an interesting dividing line for this question. We start with a very simple but useful observation.

Proposition 5.1. *If the space X is not ω-bounded and the space Y has an infinite discrete subspace then their product $X \times Y$ is not ωD-bounded.*

Proof. Let $A = \{x_n : n < \omega\} \subset X$ be such that $\overline{A}$ is not compact and $B = \{y_n : n < \omega\} \subset Y$ be infinite and discrete. Then

$$D = \{\langle x_n, y_n\rangle : n < \omega\} \subset X \times Y$$

is discrete (and countable) but cannot have compact closure because A does not.

□

Clearly, if X is an ωD-bounded but not ω-bounded space then so is its product with any finite (discrete) space. The following, perhaps surprising, result says that an ωD-bounded product of Hausdorff spaces can fail to be ω-bounded only in this trivial way.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that the Hausdorff product space $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is ωD-bounded but not ω-bounded. Then there is $i \in I$ such that $\Pi\{X_j : j \in I \setminus \{i\}\}$ is finite.*

Proof. There is $i \in I$ such that X_i is not ω-bounded because the full product X is not. But then the rest of the product, $Y = \Pi\{X_j : j \in I \setminus \{i\}\}$, cannot be infinite because every infinite Hausdorff space has an infinite discrete subspace, and then, by proposition 5.1, $X \cong X_i \times Y$ would not be ωD-bounded, contradicting our assumption.

□

Theorem 5.2 says that it is hard for a product of Hausdorff spaces to be ωD-bounded, except in the trivial case when the factors and the product are ω-bounded. In particular, if X is Hausdorff and not ω-bounded then the power X^κ is never ωD-bounded if $\kappa > 1$. Our next result, on the other hand, says that multiplying by an ωD-bounded space always preserves countable compactness. Thus, when we take a non-trivial product of finitely many regular ωD-bounded spaces then ωD-boundedness is lost but countable compactness is preserved.

Actually, this result holds for a natural boundedness property that, at least for Hausdorff spaces, is weaker than ωD-boundedness and is also implied by sequential compactness.

Definition 5.3. We say that the space X is *weakly bounded* iff every infinite subset of X has an infinite subset of compact closure in X.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that X is a weakly bounded and Y is a countably compact space. Then the product $X \times Y$ is countably compact as well.*

Proof. Let $H \subset X \times Y$ be countably infinite. If the projection $\pi_X(H)$ of H to X is finite then there is a point $x \in \pi_X(H)$ such that $Z = \{y \in Y : \langle x, y\rangle \in H\}$ is infinite. But then Z has an accumulation point z in Y, and clearly then $\langle x, z\rangle$ is an accumulation point of H in $X \times Y$.

If, on the other hand, $\pi_X(H)$ is infinite then it has an infinite subset A with compact closure. So then $\overline{A} \times Y$ is countably compact, moreover $H \cap (A \times Y)$ is infinite and hence has an accumulation point in it.

□

Of course, it is an immediate consequence of theorem 5.4 that any product of finitely many weakly bounded spaces is countably compact. Actually, we can prove much more than that.

Theorem 5.5. *The product of fewer than $\mathfrak{t}$ weakly bounded spaces is weakly bounded.*

Proof. Assume that $\kappa < \mathfrak{t}$ and $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ are weakly bounded spaces and let A be any countably infinite subset of their product $\Pi\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$. We now define by transfinite recursion sets $A_\alpha \in [A]^\omega$ for $\alpha < \kappa$ that form a mod finite decreasing sequence, as follows.

Choose first $A_0 \in [A]^\omega$ such that the closure of the projection $\pi_0(A)$ in X_0 is compact. This is possible because either $\pi_0(A)$ is finite, and then $A = A_0$ works, or it's infinite and then we may use that X_0 is weakly bounded. If $0 < \alpha < \kappa$ and the mod finite decreasing sequence $A_\beta : \beta < \alpha$ has been defined then we first choose a pseudo intersection B_α for it and then, similarly as in step 0, we pick $A_\alpha \in [B_\alpha]^\omega$ such that the closure of its projection $\pi_\alpha(A_\alpha)$ in X_α is compact.

After we are done, by $\kappa < \mathfrak{t}$ we can find a pseudo intersection $B \in [A]^\omega$ for the sequence $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$. Then for each $\alpha < \kappa$ we have that $B \setminus A_\alpha$ is finite, hence it is clear that $\pi_\alpha(B)$ has compact closure in X_α. But this, by Tychonov's theorem, implies that B has compact closure in the product $\Pi\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$.

□

We do not know if theorem 5.5 is sharp, hence the following question can be naturally raised.

Problem 3. *Is the product of* $\mathfrak{t}$ *weakly bounded spaces weakly bounded?*

While this question remains open, we could prove that such a product is at least countably compact. This result improves theorem 1.6 of [14] which says that the product of $\mathfrak{t}$ sequentially compact spaces is countably compact. Moreover, it implies that the product of $\mathfrak{t}$ many ωD-bounded Hausdorff spaces is countably compact.

Theorem 5.6. *Any product of* $\mathfrak{t}$ *weakly bounded spaces is countably compact.*

Proof. Assume that $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{t}\}$ are weakly bounded spaces and let A be any countably infinite subset of their product $X = \Pi\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{t}\}$. We may then define by transfinite recursion sets $A_\alpha \in [A]^\omega$ for all $\alpha < \mathfrak{t}$ that form a mod finite decreasing sequence in exactly the same way as we did in the proof of theorem 5.5, except that we now do not stop at some $\kappa < \mathfrak{t}$. Thus we have for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{t}$ that the closure K_α of the projection $\pi_\alpha(A_\alpha)$ in X_α is compact.

We claim next that A has an accumulation point in the compact subset $K = \Pi\{K_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{t}\}$ of X. Assume, on the contrary, that this is not the case. Then, by compactness, K has a neighbourhood $W = \cup_{i<n} V_i$, a finite union of elementary open sets V_i in X, such that $W \cap A$ is finite.

For each $i < n$ let $S_i \in [\mathfrak{t}]^{<\omega}$ be the support of V_i and choose $\alpha < \mathfrak{t}$ such that $S = \cup_{i<n} S_i \subset \alpha$. Then there is a finite subset F of A_α such that $B = A_\alpha \setminus F \subset A_\beta$ for each $\beta \in S$. This implies $\pi_\beta(B) \subset \pi_\beta(A_\beta) \subset \pi_\beta(K_\beta)$ for each $\beta \in S$. But for a point $x \in X$ to belong to W depends only on its co-ordinates in S, hence $K \subset W$ implies $B \subset W$. Consequently we have $B \subset W \cap A$, contradicting that $W \cap A$ is finite. □

Again, it is a a natural and interesting question if the statement of theorem 5.6 is sharp. This, of course, ties in with the celebrated Scarborough-Stone problem concerning the countable compactness of products of sequentially compact spaces, see e.g. [18].

References

[1] B. Balcar , F. Hernández-Hernández, M. Hrušak, *Combinatorics of dense subsets of the rationals,* Fund. Math. 183 (2004), no. 1, 59–80

[2] A. Dow, *Remote points in spaces with π-weight ω_1* Fund. Math. 124 (1984), no. 3, 197–205.

[3] Dow, A.; Tkachenko, M. G.; Tkachuk, V. V.; Wilson, R. G.; *Topologies generated by discrete subspaces* Glas. Mat. Ser. III 37(57) (2002), no. 1, 187–210.

[4] N. J. Fine ; L. Gillman *Extensions of Continuous Functions in $\beta\mathbb{N}$* . Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 66 (1960), 376—381

[5] S. P. Franklin and M. Rajagopalan, *Some examples in topology,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (1977), 305-314.

[6] Geschke, Stefan; Quickert, Sandra *On Sacks forcing and the Sacks property*, In: Classical and new paradigms of computation and their complexity hierarchies, 95-139, Trends Log. Stud. Log. Libr., 23, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.

[7] R. Hernández-Gutiérrez, *Remote filters and discretely generated spaces*, arXiv:1312.1701
[8] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions – ten years later*, Math. Centre Tract, 123 (1980)
[9] I. Juhász, *HFD and HFC type spaces, with applications*, Top. Appl. 126 (2002), pp. 217–262.
[10] I. Juhász and L. Soukup, *How to force a countably tight, initially ω_1-compact and non-compact space?*, Topology and its Applications, 69 (1996), pp. 227–250
[11] Juhász, István; Soukup, Lajos; Szentmiklóssy, Zoltán *$\mathcal{D}$-forced spaces: a new approach to resolvability* Topology Appl. 153 (2006), no. 11, 1800–1824.
[12] I. Juhász, J. van Mill, and W. Weiss, *Variations on ω-boundedness,* Israel J. Math. 194 (2013), no. 2, pp. 745—766.
[13] P. Nyikos, *First countable, countably compact, noncompact spaces*, In: Open problems in topology II, 217 – 224, Ed.: E. Pearl, Elsevier, 2007
[14] P. Nyikos and J. Vaughan, *The Scarborough-Stone problem for Hausdorff spaces*, Top. Appl. 44 (1992), 309–316
[15] E. K. van Douwen . *Remote points*, Diss. Math., 188 (1980)
[16] J. van Mill, *Weak P-points in Cech-Stone compactifications.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), no. 2, 657–678.
[17] J. van Mill, *Sixteen types in $\beta\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$*, Topology Appl. 13 (1982), 43–57.
[18] J. Vaughan, *The Scarborough-Stone problem*, In: Open problems in topology II, 249 – 256, Ed.: E. Pearl, Elsevier, 2007

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences
E-mail address: juhasz@renyi.hu

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences
E-mail address: soukup@renyi.hu
URL: http://www.renyi.hu/soukup

Eötvös University of Budapest
E-mail address: szentmiklossyz@gmail.com